

Solid State Power Generation for High Speed Vehicles

PA #: AFRL-2024-6105

Overview

- Introduction
- ThermoElectric Generator (TEG) Operation
- 1D Aerothermal Modeling
- Low Temperature Testing
- High Temperature Testing
- Future Work

Aircraft Power Generation

- Traditional Aircraft
	- Could extract energy from waste heat streams 2009 Boeing analysis showed 0.5% improvement in fuel consumption
	- Can't compete with rotating, mechanical generators Generator: 1-2 kW/kg TEG: 0.1-0.5 *kW/kg*
- Hypersonic Aircraft
	- RAMJET/SCRAMJET lack rotating core No rotating shaft for traditional generator
	- Aerothermal heating must be managed Large Delta T to preserve internal systems All this heat was carried as chemical energy in the fuel!

TEG Theory

- Heat Conduction Drives Power Generation
	- $Q_{Cond} = K(T_{Hot} T_{cold})$ Power scales with $|Q_{Cond}|$
	- $V_{Tot} = N\alpha (T_{Hot} T_{cold})$ *Voltage scales with Seebeck coef. & # of couples*
- Power Generation Creates Resistive Losses
	- $I_{Tot} = N\alpha (T_{Hot} T_{cold})/(R_{TEG} + R_{Load})$ *Current constrained by load and internal resistance*
	- $Q_{TEG} = I_{Tot}^2 R_{TEG}$ *Internal couple resistance is ohmic loss*
- Delta T is Proportional to Heat Flow
	- Ability to manage heat flow determines delta T
	- This is a coupled problem!
		- Temperature-varying properties:
			- $K(T)$, $\alpha(T)$, $R(T)$
		- Time-varying heat fluxes:
			- \cdot $Q_{In}(T), Q_{Out}(T), Q_{Cond}(T), Q_{TEG}(T)$
		- Need to impedance match the TEG and the load

TEG Modeling

- Integrated TEG Model
	- Full detail TEG modeled with stack layers
	- Used to visualize steady state heat flow and power generation in early stages
- Pseudo TEG Model
	- TEG treated as generic box
	- Identified sufficient thermal isolation
	- Evaluated the requirement for cooling between tests *Will backfill chamber between tests*
- TEG-only Model
	- Deeper look into TEG performance
	- Steady state model with resistance load
	- Highlighted importance of impedance

matching *Response of proposed test system to generic thermal step input*

600

500.

150

100

100

200

300

 $[s] \centering% \includegraphics[width=0.9\columnwidth]{figures/fig_10.pdf} \caption{The 3D (black) model for the z-axis. The left side is the same as in Figure \ref{fig:10}. The right side is the same as in Figure \ref{fig:10}. The right side is the same as in Figure \ref{fig:10}.} \label{fig:10}$

400

500.

600.

200

300

 $[s]$

100

196.94

 $125.$ Σ

100.

75.

50.

Impedance Matching

- Impedance is not naturally stable
	- Requirement of the load controller
	- Impedance is matched when:

 $\Omega_{TEG} = \Omega_{Load}$

• Determining TEG efficiency:

$$
I = J * A_{e,min}
$$

\n
$$
P_e = V * I'
$$

\n
$$
P_Q = Q'' * A_{T \cancel{\psi_{q}} * A_{e,min}}
$$

\n
$$
\eta_{TEG} = \frac{P_e}{P_Q} = \frac{Q'' * A_{T \cancel{\psi_{q}} * A_{e,min}}}{Q'' * A_{TEG}}
$$

- Where:
	- *J* = Current density
	- P_Q = Heating power
	- P_{e} = Electrical power

å

1D Modeling Overview

- X-15 'Speed Mission' Profile Selected
	- 7.33 G Pullout
	- Speed brakes closed
	- M > 4 at 240 sec
	- Extrapolated to 400 sec
- Two locations selected on X-15
	- Location 1: Higher Temperature
		- 25 cm from nose, $\theta = 15^{\circ}$
	- Location 2: Lower Temperature
		- 300 cm from nose, $\theta = 1^{\circ}$

Aerothermal Heating

1. Choose Mach number and altitude 2. Get air density, viscosity, and Prandtl number at farfield conditions Using tables, $Pr \approx const.$ 1. Using $r = Pr^{1/3}$, calculate T_{aw} (or T_r) $T_{aw} = T_e \left(1 + r \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} M^2 \right)$ 1. Calculate the reference temperature T^* T_w is wall T_{aw} is adiabatic wall T_e is far field $T^* = 0.5T_w + 0.16T_{aw} + 0.34T_e$ 1. Using reference, get μ^* μ μ_{0} $=\left(\frac{T}{T}\right)$ T_{0} $T_0 + S$ $T + S_{\mu}$ 2. Calculate Reynolds number $Re_x = \frac{\rho_e u_e x_e}{\mu_e}$

1. Use scale factor to get the incompressible Re

$$
F_{Re\chi} = \frac{\mu_e T_e}{\mu^* T^*}, Re_{\chi,i} = F_{Re\chi} Re_\chi
$$

- 8. Use an incompressible correlation for friction coefficient $c_{f,i}=0.592 Re_{\mathrm{x},i}^{-0.2}$ for a turbulent boundary layer
- 8. Use different scale factor to recover the compressible friction coefficient

 $F_c = \frac{T^*}{T_e}, c_{f,i} = F_c c_f$

8. Use shape factor to scale flat plate results to a cone

 $c_f = \sqrt{3}$ for laminar

 $c_f = 1.176$ for turbulent

8. Calculate compressible Stanton number

$$
\frac{2C_H}{c_f} = R_f, R_f = Pr^{-2/3}
$$

- 8. Get heat transfer coefficient from Stanton number $(C_H$ and St) $St = \frac{h}{c_p \rho V} = \frac{N}{Re}$
- 8. Finally, heat flux to surface is given by $q'' = h(T_{aw} - T_w)$

Flight Profile Modification

- Flight profile was scaled for higher T_{aw}
• Mach number
	- Mach number
	- Altitude
- ISA model used as starting point for each appended point
- Gas relations used beyond
- Agreement between atmospheric data

Transient Conduction Model

• Properties calculated

•
$$
R = N_{junction} \left(\frac{\phi_p t_p}{A_p} + \frac{\phi_n t_n}{A_n} \right)
$$

\n• $K = N_{junction} \left(\frac{k_p A_p}{t_p} + \frac{k_n A_n}{t_n} \right)$

- Power predicted with voltage and current calculations
	- $V_{TEG} = N_{junction} \alpha (T_H T_L)$ • $I_{TEG} = \frac{V_{TEG}}{R_{TEG} + R_L}$ $R_{TEG}+R_{load}$ = $VTEG$ $2RTEG$ • $I_{TEG} = \frac{V_{TEG}}{R_{TEG} + R_L}$ R_{TEG}+R_{load} = $VTEG$ $2RTEG$
- Hot and cold side heat transfer is given by the ideal equations
	- $Q_H = -\frac{1}{2} R_{teg} I_{teg}^2 + n I T_H \alpha + K_{teg} (T_H T_L$
	- $Q_L = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}R_{teg}I_{teg}^2 + nIT_L\alpha + K_{teg}(T_H - T_L)$

CFD Flow Visualization and Validation

- Aerothermal heating data used in conduction model assumes a certain vehicle skin thickness/material properties, but these change in the conduction model
- A fully parallel CFD model will solve the aerothermal heating and conduction problem simultaneously, removing the mismatch between aerothermal and conduction models

Distribution Statement A. Approved for public release: distribution is unlimited. PA AFRL-2024-6105.

Overview

- 4 BiTe TEG array
- Vacuum testing environment
- Heat flux via 4 AlN heaters
- Temperatures up to 600 C
- Active and passive cooling

With Multi-Layer

Insulation (MLI)

Instrumentation & Key Features

- Data recorded with multi-channel data logger
	- **11 K-Type Thermocouples** *For various temperature/heat flux measurements*
	- 1 Pressure Transducer *To monitor chamber pressure*
	- 1 Voltage, 1 Current *To measure electrical power*
	- **1 Force Transducer** *To set and monitor mechanical load*
- 4 AlN Heaters
	- High power-density heaters interface with faux skin *Large, controllable heat flux input to system*
	- Minimal parasitic thermal capacitance *AlN – Low specific heat and density*
	- Significant control authority

Structural Analysis

- Load Frame Structure
	- Test stack loaded in compression Compressive forces decrease thermal contact resistance
	- Outer frame loaded in tension Designed to be mores stiff than internal structure
	- Self-locking bolt to set initial pressure Force transducer for constant mechanical load measurement
- Load frame FEA
	- Determined reaction & deflection to loading Outer structure much stiffer than inner structure
	- Verified peak stresses with FOS Ceramic standoffs and steel plate survive
- Self-Locking Bolt
	- Thread will apply and hold mechanical force Governed by lead angle and coefficient of
friction

Structural Analysis

- Thermal Isolation and Insulation
	- Ceramic standoffs to transfer pressure Minimal thermal conductivity and contact area
	- MLI reduces radiation losses Radiation is significant due to low conductive losses
- Thermal Expansion
	- Need compliance to allow for thermal expansion Constrained thermal expansion will destroy the **TEG_s**
	- Incorporated Belleville springs for compliance Accounts for pre-load and thermal expansion
	- Can vary spring arrangement to change force Series-Parallel combination
- Accounts for Expansion
	- Belleville springs absorb thermal expansion Prevents structure from 'bottoming out' with FOS
	- Design allows for wide range of pressures and temperatures Variable spring combinations

Maximum Power Point Tracking

- Buck-Boost DC-DC converter provides Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT)
	- Live, self-adjusting impedance matching Allows for maximum electrical power extraction
	- Designed for up to 40V, 30A More than covers this test and future testing
- Designed for maximum power conversion in minimal space & weight
	- GaN switches enable 500kHz 1MHz switching Decreases size/weight of passive components
	- TEG Von $= 0.3V$ Low Von captures as much energy as possible
- Designed with future efforts in mind
	- Onboard power storage
	- Built-in measurements
	- Built-in monitoring and protection

Heating Profile

Simulated response based on X-15 mission profile and TEG properties.

 $T_{max, equilibrium} = 522.9619 C$ $T_{max,adiabatic} = 1218.1672 C$

